



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6853
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential and feasibility of solid municipal waste treatment with gasifiers in a developping country: a review for Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There were few grammatical errors that need to be corrected. The figures in the Tables need to be more statistically analysed. For example, Table3(line 167) could have brought out more facts if tools like regression and correlation were used to show relationships between the dependent variables (waste generation) and the independent variables (populations of the cities)	We agree, suggested correction have been made. We partially agree. But the aim of the review is to introduce gasification technology in Burkina Faso. These facts are already available in the references given in this paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There is a room for great improvement , after the corrections the paper could be considered for publication.	We agree. Corrections have been made (Please, see the manuscript [2013_BJAST_6853_Rev1_Rev2_Rev3] and proposed final version [2013_BJAST_6853_Revised]).